

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/647,121	11/16/2000	Lorenzo Di Camillo	B-4006PCT 61	3910
75	590 07/29/2002			
Richard P Berg			EXAMINER	
Ladas & Parry 5670 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679			BROWN, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	1(
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	Α.	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		•		
		. —	EXAMINER .	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		DATI	E MAILED:	1`

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE a) is exte b) a expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. 6/25/oc has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed to place the application in condition for allowance The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered 🖭 will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: forth in Paper No. 9. Claims objected to: Claims rejected: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier 5. ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. Michael A. Drown Other

Primary Examiner